

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/588,521

Applicant(s)

CHAUDHARI ET AL.

Examiner

Christopher A. Revak

Art Unit

2131

All Participants:(1) Christopher A. Revak.(2) Frank DeRosa.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 December 2005**Time:** 9:30am**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

101 rejection, 102(e) rejection

Claims discussed:

1-11 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative in an attempt to compact prosecution. The examiner notified the applicant that there still existed a 101 rejection for claims 1-11 and suggested language to overcome the rejection. The examiner noted that the applicant's amendments to claim 23 did not coincide with the examiner's reasons for allowance and the applicant and examiner agreed on language to place the claim in conditions for allowance. The applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment..